

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,796	11/25/2003	Phui Qui Nguyen	FA1216USNA	8238
	7590 11/23/200 DE NEMOURS AND (EXAMINER	
LEGAL PATE	NT RECORDS CENTI L PLAZA 25/1128		TSOY,	ELENA
4417 LANCAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19805		1792	
			····	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10722796	11/25/2003	NGUYEN ET AL.	FA1216USNA		
		EXAMINER			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128				Elena Tsoy	
4417 LANCASTER PIN WILMINGTON, DE 19	KE		ART UNIT	PAPER	
			1792	20071118	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This is in response to Boards' Remand stating that the ground of rejection based on a primary reference of Maag et al is missing in the Final Rejection mailed on 11/25/2005.

The Examiner states that the ground of rejection based on a primary reference of Maag et al, as described in the paragraph 11 of Non-Final Rejection mailed on 6/20/2005, was inadvertently omitted from the Final Rejection mailed on 11/25/2005. Note that the paragraph 10 of the Final Rejection mailed on 11/25/2005 recites the omitted ground of rejection based on a primary reference of Maag et al to address further limitations.

Elena Tsoy, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792